EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

Dr. Bruce Race, FAIA, FAICP

Gerald D. Hines College of Architecture and Design Center for Sustainability and Resilience

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

Bruce Race, FAIA, FAICP, PhD

Interdisciplinary Approach

Skill Sets

- Community, environmental, and transportation planning
- Artificial Intelligence (AI) and scenario modeling
- Remote sensing and networks
- Community and market economics
- Technology integration and partnerships



Dr. Bruce Race Environmental Planning



Kimberly Williams, J.D. METRO Innovation Officer Dr. Aron Laszka Computer Science



Dr. Vikram Maheshri Economics



Dr. Driss Benhaddou Computer Engineering Technology



Dr. Ramanan Krishnamoorti UH Energy

Bruce Race, FAIA, FAICP, PhD

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY



Overall Objectives

- This project will identify how the **deployment of smart technologies** can improve the reliability, comfort, and affordability of transit services.
- This project will apply **emerging data analytics, smart technologies, and machine learning** to tackle a national challenge of equitable access to EV ownership and electric mobility.
- Defines incentive programs reflecting:
 - National need to stimulate equitable access to EV ownership;
 - Regional policy impact demonstrating **collaborative partnerships** between cities, EV companies, fast charging developers, and ridesharing services; and
 - Research that opens up opportunities to use the data for **EV impacts on the energy grid** and future smart grid research and predicting **improvements to air quality**.

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY









West Bellfort METRO Park and Ride

- Principal express bus destinations: TMC and Downtown
- 1,828 existing parking spaces
- 3,200 total spaces with new parking structure
- 1,500 spaces available during construction

MARKET

(Race, 2020)

- Regional (Ft. Bend) market with high income, educational attainment working in the med center and downtown (early adopters can support access for local resdients)
- Local market high percentage of low wage households, still driving to dispersed workplaces

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

Broad Community Access: Smart Mobility Hub Vision

Seamless integrations of technology - - transit apps, pilot IoT (5G) and Bluetooth infrastructure corridor(s), operations and management, payment, and enforcement

Smart Shuttles (Autonomous/EV) - - routes, length, headway, span of service (hours/day), vehicles required, layout time (minutes)

Smart parking and charging - - real time data service, mobile payment app, lic plate recognition, equipment/cameras, parking management integrator (flow of information)

Parking permit types - - monthly with bus pass, monthly parking only, daily on-line, daily pay by phone

Smart hus shelter technology - - location is critical, USB charging and hotspot

Smart trips - - personalizing transit trips

Ridesharing - - commercial carshare services, carpooling, and vanpooling

Private partners - - employer shuttle bus

IMPLEMENTATION

Marketing - - customer data, short term marketing, continuous outreach, technology-enabled marketing Partner leadership - - agencies, jurisdictions, other stakeholders Cost planning and sharing - - capital costs (infrastructure), operating costs, funding sources

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY



		Inter-City			Regional				Local
acilities	Greyhound (Future)	Ft. Bend Transit (Future)	METRO Bus	Auto Parking	Bike Parking	Local Shuttle (Future)	Auto Drop-off	Bike Parking	Pedestrian
BUS TRANSIT									
nter-City Bus		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
METRO X-press									
METRO Local			•		•		•		•
AUTO DROP OFF									
Kiss n Ride			•						
Rideshare Services									
PASSENGER PARKING									
Park n Ride			•			•			•
Rideshare						•	•		
ACTIVE TRANSIT									
Private Bike			•		•			•	•
Rental Bike/Scooter			•			•			
On-site Pedestrian	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Off-site Pedestrian			•						•

Issues and Opportunities:

Grid impact:

As the number of EVs increases, so will the **impact of EV charging on the power grid**. To minimize the impact on the grid, we need to "smoothen" the load and avoid charging many EVs at the same time.

- Can we plan the charging schedules and locations of ridesharing EVs in a way that minimizes the impact on the grid while providing equitable mobility supply across Houston (i.e., do not charge all the vehicles at a time when lower-income areas need them)?
- How can we (or Uber) incentivize drivers to follow these planned charging schedules?

Charging schedules need to take into account the impact on the grid, the availability of charging stations, and mobility demand (maintaining or providing a seamless integration between different modes of transportation).

- Can we plan integrated charging schedules and locations for all ridesharing, personal, and transit EVs?
- · Can we incentivize drivers to follow these schedules?

Equitable distribution of charging hubs:

Higher-income neighborhoods may have higher levels of EV adoption. Hence, to maximize the utilization of charging hubs, it would make sense to place them in higher-income neighborhoods and locations with high-income jobs. However, this would put low-income populations at a disadvantage. We need to consider the locations of residences, public services (schools, hospitals, etc.), and jobs.

· How can we balance maximizing utilization with providing equitable distribution?

Park-and-charge-and-ride:

- How can we integrate park-and-ride with EV charging for personal vehicles?
- Can we adapt public transit routes and schedules, so that it is easy to transfer from charging hubs to public transit?
- If a person's preferred park-and-ride garage does not have the appropriate charging infrastructure, can we incentivize them to park elsewhere (e.g., by lower cost charging)?
- Can we integrate with BCycle to provide park-and-charge-and-bike service?

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

Issues and Opportunities:

Community outreach and incentives:

- What are the **barriers to the adoption** of EVs (besides higher up-front prices)?
- Do people subjectively prefer EVs or ICEVs? How do these preferences vary by socio-economic status and by neighborhoods?
- How can we incentivize people to use EVs as drivers and as riders?
- What concerns do people have about EVs (e.g., limited driving range, battery explosions)?

Post COVID-19 mobility:

- What will mobility look like in a post COVID-19 world?
- Which modes of transit will be more popular and which will be less popular?
- How will mobility demand change temporally and spatially?
- Can we plan the distribution of charging hubs anticipating long-term changes?

Privacy-preserving data collection:

To answer the above questions, we need data, which may include personal information, such as location traces and travel destinations.

• Can we collect and analyze data in a privacy preserving manner, which enables us to answer the above questions without storing or publishing any personally identifiable information?

Real-time data collection, processing, and distribution:

We need to collect real-time data from charging hubs and vehicles to plan (e.g., when to charge which ridesharing vehicle) and to inform drivers (e.g., about the availability of charging stations).

• How can we collect, process, and distribute this detailed data for a city as large as Houston?

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

Bruce Race, FAIA, FAICP, PhD

Smart Charging and Parking Goal and Objectives

GOAL: To be able to provide seamless travel experience for EV charging, parking, and METRO pass system services - - EOUITABLY

SMART SYSTEM OBJECTIVES:

- To be able to monitor the number of available spaces and chargers in park and ride lots
- To be able to provide available **space information to requested by drivers** to assist in decision-making for parking location and transit schedules
- To be able to provide available space information to METRO/parking operator
- To be able to provide available space information and bus schedules to traveler information applications
- To facilitate virtual METROpass, EV charging, and parking payments

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

Park and Ride Smart Parking Best Practice Schematic							
Smart Transit Hub Concepts	Parking Manager Parking Operator parking manager input parking status parking operator input parking area status Transit Management (28) parking area information Parking Management (28) parking area transit Center Parking Management (28) parking area parking Management (28) parking area (28) parki	Driver driver updates Vehicle OBE					
 Integration of transit, energy, and IoT technologies Improved mobility access and performance Lower environmental impacts Templet for smart transit hubs 	(1C) parking information (1C) parking information (1C) parking information (1B) vehicle signage local data (1B) vehicle signage local data (2B) vehicle location and motion (2B) parking management application info (2B) parking management (2D) parking availability (2D) parking avail	Vehic B Roadside Information Reception Vehicle Interactive Traveler Information Vehicle Basic Safety Communication					
	Information Center (28) traveler request Traveler information (22) interactive traveler information Traveler information (28) traveler request TiC Data Collection (20) interactive traveler information https://local.iteris.com/arc-it/html/servicenackaees/sn59.html#tab-3 PM02: Smart Park and Ride System (DOT, Architecture Reference for Cooperative and Intelligent Transportation, 2020) 6 Physical re	Device Personal Interactive Traveler Information					
EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY	Bruce Race, FAI.	A, FAICP, PhD					







Barriers to EV Ownership



UNDERSTANDING BENEFITS AND INCENTIVES OF EV OWNERSHIP

• Missing out on benefits of EV ownership is an **OPPORTUNITY COST** to working families.

• Tax Credits

- Small second hand market for EV
- Up to \$7,500 in tax credits for new cars (US EPA, 2021)
- Access to Biden incentives for 500,000 new fast charging stations

Employee Benefits

- Incentives for "eco-commuters"
- Knowledge-based employers more likely to provide incentives

Local Incentives

• Uber and City of Houston partnership for EV

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

Bruce Race, FAIA, FAICP, PhD

Barriers to EV Ownership

POWER STRUGGLE: ELECTRIC VS. GAS MAINTENANCE COSTS



MANY LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS ARE IN THE SECOND HAND CAR MARKET

• The **INITIAL PURCHASE COST** as a barrier to EV ownership. Until there is a second hand EV market, low wage earners will have a difficult time gaining access to affordable EVs.

Initial Purchase Price

• EVs cost more to purchase but save families money over the life of the car

• Realizing Economic Benefits from Affordable Fueling and Maintenance

- Average auto **maintenance costs are 40% lower** for EVs compared to combustion engine vehicles (Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, 2021).
- A 2021 standard range Tesla 3 will save an owner \$643 per year compared to an entry-level Camry (US DOE, 2021).

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

Choices for Working Families 2010 GMC Yukon 2021 Tesla Model 3 • A low-income family driving a used 2010 GMC SUV is spending \$1,361 per year more than a basic Tesla. Over 15 years, the Tesla would \$12,800 Starting Price \$39,190 Starting Price cost over \$20,000 less to own vs. keeping used 4.5 🔶 Consumer 4.8 🔶 Consumer Expert (N/A) 4.2 t Expert SUVs on the road (US 141 MPGe Combined Fuel Economy 21 MPG Combined Fuel Economy DOE, 2021). Kelley Blue Book EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY Bruce Race, FAIA, FAICP, PhD

Barriers to EV Ownership THERE ARE FEWER FAST CHARGERS LOCATED IN LOW Electric vehicle charging outlets mostly concentrated in large U.S. cities Number of public charaina outlets. May 202 **INCOME COMMUNITIES** 0 1-25 26-50 51-100 101-500 501-1,000 1,001+ 25 square miles • Many low wage households are renters in communities without fast charging options. These families pay a higher **COST OF CONVENIENCE** for access. Lack of Local Fast Charging Infrastructure The lack of convenient and accessible charging for low wage families is a household cost in time In 2018, fast charger to EV ratio in U.S. was 1:17.4 compared to 1:10 in the EU $\,$ Poor EV Charger Access of Low-Income Renters • Low income rental housing does not have access to onsite EV charging • More dependent on off-site public fast charging Cost of Installing Home Level 2 Charger PEW RESEARCH CENTER / GRAPHIC BY ALISSA SCHELLER Over 80% of EV charging happens at home (Energy Star, 2018) • Level 2 charger can cost up to \$2,600 to install in a home EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY Bruce Race, FAIA, FAICP, PhD

Equitable EV and Public Transit Mobility



Leveraging Early Adopters

Understanding indicators for early adoption:

- High educational attainment and income
- Single family home ownership
- Multi-car households
- Car-sharing
- Public charging
- Political party affiliation

Understand the market segmentation of early adopters - - men in their 30s-50s, home owners, higher education attainment and income

Understanding **equity indicators** for access to electric mobility:

- Social Vulnerability Indicators (SVIs)
- EV affordability and diversity of EV fleet
- Innovation of EV access (car sharing, etc.)
- · Awareness of incentives and benefits

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY





MTCO2e	Houston CAP-Baseline Emissions (2014) GHG Emissions by Source						
		MTCO2e					
	STATIONARY ENERGY	16,454,686	49%	ACTION PLAN			
	TRANSPORTATION	16,140,987	48%				
	WASTE	818,344	2%				
STATIONARY ENERGY TRANSPORTATION WASTE		33,414,017					
MTCO2e							
	Transportation GHG			HOUSTON CLIMATE			
	MTCO2e			ACTION PLAN			
	ON-ROAD	15,932,882	99%				
	RAILWAYS	207,451	1%	WORKING GROUPS!			
	AVIATION	654	0%				
• ON-ROAD • RAILWAYS • AVIATION		16,140,987					
EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOB	ILITY		(Race, 2	2021) Bruce Race, FAIA, FAICP, PhD			



15

Reducing Commuter Emissions • Assumptions based on current 3,000 daily bus ridership Comparing Per Capita Annual CO2e and PM2.5 1.80 160 SCENARIO 1: Status Quo (Today - - Baseline) 1.40 • 70%-30% regional and local ridership 1.20 1.00 20% reduction in VMT 0.80 • 3,000 bus boardings 060 24 MPGe (2014) 0.40 0.20 0.00 Scenario 1: Status Quo Scenario 2: Increased Scenario 3: Local • 90%-10% regional and local ridership Regional Ridership and Growth in Fort Bend Redevelopment and Reinvestment (TOD) 30% reduction in VMT County • 5,000 bus boardings Annual Per Capita Pounds of MTCO2 e Annual Per Capita Pounds of PM2.5 • 54.5 MPGe (Obama era CAFE standards) 60%-40% regional and local ridership • 40% reduction in VMT • 7,000 bus boardings Focusing on transit-oriented infill development • 112 MPGe EV Fleet and electrifying the fleet significantly decreases GHG emissions and PM2.5 for each of us - - what we drive and where we live

EQUITABLE ELECTRIC MOBILITY

- SCENARIO 2: Increased Regional Ridership and Growth in Ft. Bend County (Sprawl Model)
- SCENARIO 3: Redevelopment of I-69/Loop 8 (TOD Model)

(CeSAR, 2021)

